

**From:** Mark W. Alexander  
**To:** leaplist@lists.leap-cf.org@inetgw  
**Date:** 1/24/02 9:56am  
**Subject:** Re: [LeapList]Microsoft Settlement

If you can figure out the proper way to send this to the judge in the \_civil\_ suit, that's the place for it to go.

It's great, but it does not address the DOJ settlement.

mwa

On Thu, 24 Jan 2002, Phil Barnett wrote:

>  
> I feel that the currently proposed settlement is inadequate and an  
> embarrassment to justice and law abiding taxpayers everywhere.  
>  
> Ordering Microsoft to buy their way into another monopoly by having  
> them donate proprietary operating systems to needy schools is not  
> punishment. It is simply telling them that it's ok to monopolize yet  
> another venue.  
>  
> Will this billion dollars worth of 'charity' displace real business in  
> the commercial operating system world? Will sales that would have gone  
> to Microsoft's competitors be lost because school districts around the  
> U.S. will be waiting in line for their free handout? Will this billion  
> dollar fine actually strengthen Microsoft? I believe it will if it is  
> carried out as currently proposed.  
>  
> If you want to actually punish Microsoft for their illegal activities,  
> you should not do it by giving them a new market to take over. Instead,  
> you should punish them by strengthening the competition that they have  
> illegally gained monopolistic power over and plundered.  
>  
> I propose that any new Microsoft settlement to needy schools include:  
>  
>     50% of the settlement into computer hardware only.  
>  
>     20% of the settlement into network infrastructure.  
>  
>     All computers purchased with this settlement money be installed with  
>     non-proprietary Linux Open Source operating systems and software.  
>  
>     30% of the settlement will be used for education of the support  
>     personnel and teachers using these new open source operating systems.  
>  
> Linux is an excellent choice in Open Source operating systems. It is  
> stable, capable, powerful, consistent and as easy to administer as any

> operating system that training is available for. There are many good  
> mainstream Linux distributions available at low or no cost. Training  
> and certification are available and should be encouraged in the support  
> and educational infrastructure.  
>  
> Open Source operating systems have the benefit of being upgradable at  
> little or no cost for the foreseeable future. If the schools to be  
> helped are those with limited budgets, low upgrade costs will be very  
> important over the life of the hardware. Also, Linux is more efficient  
> with hardware and does not require the rigorous hardware upgrade  
> schedule that Microsoft operating system upgrades require. This means  
> the hardware purchased with this settlement money will be viable years  
> longer with Linux than it would be with Microsoft's proprietary  
> operating systems.  
>  
> If the current settlement is carried out, in a few years the schools  
> with limited budgets will have obsolete operating system software  
> needing to be replaced with billions of dollars of public money.  
>  
> And, to whom will this upgrade money go? Microsoft.  
>  
> That is not punishment. That is opportunity.  
>  
> If you want to punish Microsoft and at the same time remove the  
> proprietary handcuffs that Microsoft has put on every Windows user,  
> teach our children how to use Linux.  
>  
> 

---

> LeapList mailing list  
> LeapList@lists.leap-cf.org  
> <http://www.matrixlist.com/mailman/listinfo/leaplist>  
>

--

Mark W. Alexander  
slash@dotnetslash.net

**CC:** Microsoft ATR